



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,190	06/25/2003	Kevin Thorne	SBI-129 2194  EXAMINER	
45488	7590 04/18/2006			
WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON			AFREMOVA, VERA	
10333 RICHMOND, SUITE 1100 HOUSTON, TX 77042			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1651	
			DATE MAILED: 04/18/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/606,190	THORNE, KEVIN		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Vera Afremova	1651		

·	Vera Afremova	1651	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 24 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP		-	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b)</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7</li> </ol>	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must of the final rejection.  I dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth for the set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evidencompliance with 37 Clust be filed within one in the final rejection, who date of the final rejecti	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Office	ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	out prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause
<ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the cont</li></ul>	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); ter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying t	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	. , ,		
<ul> <li>4.  The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.13</li> <li>5.  Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li> <li>6.  Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al</li> </ul>	·		
non-allowable claim(s).	·	•	_
<ul> <li>7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u>.         Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u>.         Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-24</u>.         Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u>.</li> </ul>		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a l).
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see attached.</li> </ol>			ice because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.  Other:			

## **Attachment to Advisory Action**

Applicants arguments filed 3/24/2006 have been fully considered but not found persuasive because they are mostly directed to the same issues discussed in the last office action.

Main applicant's argument is directed to the teaching of patent US 4,294,753 (Urist).

Applicant argues that the cited patent does not teach the use of "a mineral-containing supernatant" for extraction of osteogenic proteins (BMPs) and that the cited patent teaches away by disclosing a repeated removal of minerals by dialysis in HCl solution.

However, the question whether a reference "teaches away" from the invention is inapplicable to an anticipation analysis. MPEP.2131.05.

The cited patent US'753 clearly states that at least some fractions of total BMPs are recovered from the HCl solution (col. 3, lines 9-10) that is "a mineral-containing supernatant" within the meaning of the instant claims and when read in the light of specification (example 6). The applicant's example 6 describes that some fractions of total BMPs are recovered from "acid demineralization solution" (page 21) that is the same as the cited Urist's HCl solution.

The preferred embodiments do not constitute a teaching away and a patent is relevant as prior art for all it contains. See MPEP 2123.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vera Afremova whose telephone number is (571) 272-0914. The examiner can normally be reached from Monday to Friday from 9.30 am to 6.00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached at (571) 272-0926.

Art Unit: 1651

The fax phone number for the TC 1600 where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology center 1600, telephone number is (571) 272-1600.

Vera Afremova

AU 1651

April 14, 2006

VERA AFREMOVA

PRIMARY EXAMINER